A Lie conformal algebra of Block type

Lamei Yuan
Academy of Fundamental and Interdisciplinary Science,
Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin 150080, China
lmyuan@hit.edu.cn

arXiv:1601.07388v1 [math.RA] 26 Jan 2016

Abstract: The aim of this paper is to study a Lie conformal algebra of Block type. In this paper, conformal derivation, conformal module of rank 1 and low-dimensional comohology of the Lie conformal algebra of Block type are studied. Also, the vertex Poisson algebra structure associated with the Lie conformal algebra of Block type is constructed.

Keywords: Lie conformal algebra, vertex Lie algebra, cohomology, vertex Poisson algebra

MR(2000) Subject Classification: 17B65, 17B69

1 Introduction

The notion of Lie conformal algebra, introduced by Kac [9], encode an axiomatic description of the operator product expansions of chiral fields in conformal field theory. It is a powerful tool for the study of infinite-dimensional Lie (super)algebras, associative algebras and their representations. Lie conformal algebras have been extensively studied, including the classification problem [5, 6], cohomology theory [2, 12] and representation theory [3].

The Lie conformal algebras are closely related to vertex algebras. Primc [11] introduced and studied a notion of vertex Lie algebra, which is a special case of a more general notion of local vertex Lie algebra [4]. As it was explained in [10], the notion of Lie conformal algebra and the notion of vertex Lie algebra are equivalent. In this paper, we shall use Lie conformal algebra and vertex Lie algebra synonymously.

With the notion of vertex Lie algebra, one arrives at the notion of vertex Poisson algebra, which is a combination of a differential algebra structure and a vertex Lie algebra structure, satisfying a natural compatibility condition. The symmetric algebra of a vertex Lie algebra is naturally a vertex Poisson algebra [7]. A general construction theorem of vertex Poisson algebras was given in [10]. Applications of vertex Poisson algebras to the theory of integrable systems were studied in [1].

In the present paper, we study a nonsimple Lie conformal algebra of infinite rank, which is endowed with a $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$-basis $\{J_i|i\in\mathbb{Z}^+\}$, such that

$$[J_{i\,\lambda}J_j]=((i+1)\partial+(i+j+2)\lambda)J_{i+j},\ \text{for } i,j\in\mathbb{Z}^+. \tag{1.1}$$

The corresponding formal distribution Lie algebra is a Block type Lie algebra, which is the associated graded Lie algebra of the filtered Lie algebra $W_{1+\infty}$ [13, 14, 15, 16, 18]. Thus we call this Lie conformal algebra a *Lie conformal algebra of Block type* and denote it by $\mathscr{B}$ in this paper. It is a conformal subalgebra of $\operatorname{gr} gc_1$ studied in [17]. In addition, it contains the Virasoro conformal algebra $\mathrm{Vir}=\mathbb{C}[\partial]\mathrm{J}_0$ with $[J_{0\,\lambda}J_0]=(\partial+2\lambda)J_0$ as a subalgebra.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall the notions of Lie conformal algebra and vertex Lie algebra. In Section 3, we study conformal derivations of the Lie conformal algebra of Block type $\mathscr{B}$. In Section 4, we recall the notions of conformal module and comohology of Lie conformal algebras. Then we study conformal module of rank 1 and low-dimensional comohology of $\mathscr{B}$ with coefficients in $\mathscr{B}$-modules. In Section 5, we equip a vertex Lie algebra structure (Y_-, ∂) with $\mathscr{B}$ and establish an association of a vertex Poisson algebra structure to the vertex Lie algebra $(\mathscr{B}, Y_-, \partial)$.

2 Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, all vector spaces and tensor products are over the complex field $\mathbb{C}$. We use notations $\mathbb{Z}$ for the set of integers and $\mathbb{Z}^+$ for the set of nonnegative integers.

Definition 2.1. A Lie conformal algebra $\mathscr{R}$ is a $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$-module with a $\mathbb{C}$-bilinear map,

$$\mathscr{R} \otimes \mathscr{R} \to \mathbb{C}[\lambda] \otimes \mathscr{R}, \quad a \otimes b \mapsto [a_\lambda b],$$

called the λ-bracket, and satisfying the following axioms $(a, b, c \in \mathscr{R})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(conformal sesquilinearity)} \quad [\partial a_\lambda b] &= -\lambda [a_\lambda b],\ [a_\lambda \partial b] = (\partial + \lambda)[a_\lambda b], && (2.1)\\ \text{(skew-symmetry)} \quad [a_\lambda b] &= -[b_{-\lambda-\partial} a], && (2.2)\\ \text{(Jacobi identity)} \quad [a_\lambda [b_\mu c]] &= [[a_\lambda b]_{\lambda+\mu} c] + [b_\mu [a_\lambda c]]. && (2.3) \end{aligned}$$

If we consider the expansion

$$[a_\lambda b] = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \frac{\lambda^j}{j!} (a_{(j)} b), \tag{2.4}$$

the coefficients of $\frac{\lambda^j}{j!}$ are called the j**-product** satisfying $a_{(n)} b = 0$ for n sufficiently large, and the axioms (2.1)–(2.3) can be written in terms of them as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial a_{(n)} b &= -n a_{(n-1)} b, \quad a_{(n)} \partial b = \partial (a_{(n)} b) + n a_{(n-1)} b, && (2.5)\\ a_{(n)} b &= -\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^+} (-1)^{n+i} \frac{1}{i!} \partial^i b_{(n+i)} a, && (2.6)\\ a_{(m)} b_{(n)} c &= b_{(n)} a_{(m)} c + \sum_{i=0}^{m} \tbinom{m}{i} (a_{(i)} b)_{(m+n-i)} c. && (2.7) \end{aligned}$$

In terms of generating functions, Mirko Primc in [11] presented an equivalent definition of a Lie conformal algebra under the name of vertex Lie algebra (see also [10]). Let V be any vector space. Following [11], for a formal series

$$f(x_1, \cdots, x_n) = \sum_{m_1, \cdots, m_n \in \mathbb{Z}} u(m_1, \cdots, m_n) x_1^{-m_1-1} \cdots x_n^{-m_n-1} \in V[[x_1^{\pm 1}, \cdots, x_n^{\pm 1}]],$$

we set

$$\mathrm{Sing} f(x_1, \cdots, x_n) = \sum_{m_1, \cdots, m_n \in \mathbb{Z}^+} u(m_1, \cdots, m_n) x_1^{-m_1-1} \cdots x_n^{-m_n-1}. \tag{2.8}$$

Clearly, for $1 \le i \le n$,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \mathrm{Sing} f(x_1, \cdots, x_n) = \mathrm{Sing} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f(x_1, \cdots, x_n). \tag{2.9}$$

Definition 2.2. A vertex Lie algebra is a vector space A equipped with a linear operator ∂ called the derivation and a linear map

$$Y_-(\cdot,z): A \to z^{-1}(\mathrm{End}\, A)[[z^{-1}]], \quad a \mapsto Y_-(a,z) = \textstyle\sum_{n\geq 0} a_{(n)} z^{-n-1},$$

satisfying the following conditions for $a, b \in A$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$:

$$a_{(n)}b = 0 \ \text{ for } n \text{ sufficiently large}, \tag{2.10}$$

$$[\partial, Y_-(a,z)] = Y_-(\partial a, z) = \frac{d}{dz} Y_-(a,z), \tag{2.11}$$

$$Y_-(a,z)b = \mathrm{Sing}\big(e^{z\partial} Y_-(b,-z)a\big), \tag{2.12}$$

and the half Jacobi identity holds:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Sing}\Big(z_0^{-1}\delta\big(\frac{z_1-z_2}{z_0}\big)Y_-(a,z_1)Y_-(b,z_2) - z_0^{-1}\delta\big(\frac{z_2-z_1}{-z_0}\big)Y_-(b,z_1)Y_-(a,z_2)\Big)\\ = \mathrm{Sing}\Big(z_2^{-1}\delta\big(\frac{z_1-z_0}{z_2}\big)Y_-(Y_-(a,z_0)b,z_2)\Big).\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

Relation (2.12) is called the *half skew-symmetry*. It was shown in [11] that the half Jacobi identity (2.13) amounts to the following *half commutator formula*:

$$Y_-(a,z_1)Y_-(b,z_2) - Y_-(b,z_1)Y_-(a,z_2) = \mathrm{Sing}\Big(\textstyle\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}^+}(z_1-z_2)^{-i-1}Y_-(a_{(i)}b,z_2)\Big). \tag{2.14}$$

As it was explained in [10, Remark 2.6], the notion of Lie conformal algebra is equivalent to the notion of vertex Lie algebra. We often denote a vertex Lie algebra by (A, Y_-, ∂) and refer to (Y_-, ∂) as the vertex Lie algebra structure. A vertex Lie algebra (A, Y_-, ∂) is said to be *free*, if A is a free $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$-module over a vector space V, namely, $A = \mathbb{C}[\partial]V \cong \mathbb{C}[\partial] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V$.

3 Conformal derivation

Let $\mathscr{C}$ denote the ring $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$ of polynomials in the indeterminate ∂.

Definition 3.1. Let V and W be two $\mathscr{C}$-modules. A linear map $\phi : V \to \mathscr{C}[\lambda] \otimes_{\mathscr{C}} W$, denoted by $\phi_\lambda : V \to W$, is called a conformal linear map, if

$$\phi_\lambda(\partial v) = (\partial + \lambda)(\phi_\lambda v), \ \text{for } v \in V. \tag{3.1}$$

The space of conformal linear maps between $\mathscr{C}$-modules V and W is denoted by $\mathrm{Chom}(V,W)$ and it can be made into an $\mathscr{C}$-module via

$$(\partial\phi)_\lambda v = -\lambda\phi_\lambda v, \ \text{for } v \in V.$$

Definition 3.2. Let $\mathscr{R}$ be a Lie conformal algebra. A conformal linear map $d_\lambda : \mathscr{R} \to \mathscr{R}$ is called a conformal derivation of $\mathscr{R}$ if

$$d_\lambda[a_\mu b] = [(d_\lambda a)_{\lambda+\mu} b] + [a_\mu (d_\lambda b)], \ \text{for } a, b \in \mathscr{R}. \tag{3.2}$$

The space of all conformal derivations of $\mathscr{R}$ is denoted by $\mathrm{CDer}(\mathscr{R})$. For any $a \in \mathscr{R}$, one can define a conformal derivation $(\mathrm{ad}\, a)_\lambda : \mathscr{R} \to \mathscr{R}$ by $(\mathrm{ad}\, a)_\lambda b = [a_\lambda b]$ for $b \in \mathscr{R}$. Such conformal derivation is called *inner*. Denote by $\mathrm{CInn}(\mathscr{R})$ the space of all conformal inner derivations of $\mathscr{R}$.

Proposition 3.3. *Every conformal derivation of the Lie conformal algebra $\mathscr{B}$ is inner.*

Proof. Let d be any conformal derivation of $\mathscr{B}$. Denote $L = J_0$. Assume that there exists a finite subset $I = \{i_1, \cdots, i_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $d_\lambda L = \sum_{j=1}^n f_{i_j}(\partial, \lambda) J_{i_j}$, where $f_{i_j}(\partial, \lambda) \in \mathbb{C}[\partial, \lambda]$. Condition (3.2) requires $d_\lambda([L_\mu L]) = [L_\mu (d_\lambda L)] + [(d_\lambda L)_{\lambda+\mu} L]$. This is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}(\partial + \lambda + 2\mu) \sum_{j=1}^n f_{i_j}(\partial, \lambda) J_{i_j} - \sum_{j=1}^n (\partial + (i_j + 2)\mu) f_{i_j}(\partial + \mu, \lambda) J_{i_j} \\ = \sum_{j=1}^n ((i_j + 1)\partial + (i_j + 2)(\lambda + \mu)) f_{i_j}(-\lambda - \mu, \lambda) J_{i_j}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

For each j,

$$\begin{aligned}(\partial + \lambda + 2\mu) f_{i_j}(\partial, \lambda) - (\partial + (i + 2)\mu) f_{i_j}(\partial + \mu, \lambda) \\ = ((i_j + 1)\partial + (i_j + 2)(\lambda + \mu)) f_{i_j}(-\lambda - \mu, \lambda). \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Write $f_{i_j}(\lambda, \partial) = \sum_{k=0}^m a_{i_j,k}(\lambda)\partial^k$ with $a_{i_j,m}(\lambda) \neq 0$. Then, assuming $m > 1$, if we equate terms of degree m in ∂, we have $(\lambda - i - m\mu) a_{i_j,m}(\lambda) = 0$ and thus $a_{i_j,m}(\lambda) = 0$. This contradicts $a_{i_j,m}(\lambda) \neq 0$. Thus $\deg_\partial f_{i_j}(\lambda, \partial) \leq 1$, and $f_{i_j}(\lambda, \partial) = a_{i_j,0}(\lambda) + a_{i_j,1}(\lambda)\partial$. Substituting it into (3.4) gives $a_{i_j,0}(\lambda) = \frac{i_j+2}{i_j+1}\lambda a_{i_j,1}(\lambda)$. Therefore,

$$d_\lambda L = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{a_{i_j,1}(\lambda)}{i_j + 1}((i_j + 1)\partial + (i_j + 2)\lambda) J_{i_j}.$$

Replacing d_λ by $d_\lambda - (\mathrm{ad}\, h)_\lambda$ with $h = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{a_{i_j,1}(-\partial)}{i_j+1} J_{i_j}$, we get $d_\lambda(L) = 0$.

For $k > 0$, assume that $d_\lambda J_k = \sum_{i=1}^l f_{k_i}(\partial, \lambda) J_{k_i}$. Applying d_λ to $[L_\mu J_k] = (\partial + (k+2)\mu) J_k$ and using $d_\lambda(L) = 0$, we obtain

$$(\partial + \lambda + (k + 2)\mu) \sum_{i=1}^l f_{k_i}(\partial, \lambda) J_{k_i} = \sum_{i=1}^l (\partial + (k_i + 2)\mu) f_{k_i}(\partial + \mu, \lambda) J_{k_i}, \tag{3.5}$$

and thus

$$(\partial + \lambda + (k + 2)\mu) f_{k_i}(\partial, \lambda) = (\partial + (k_i + 2)\mu) f_{k_i}(\partial + \mu, \lambda), \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq l. \tag{3.6}$$

Comparing the highest degree of λ gives $f_{k_i}(\partial, \lambda) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq l$. Hence $d_\lambda(J_k) = 0$ for $k > 0$. This concludes the proof. □

4 Cohomology

Definition 4.1. A module M over a Lie conformal algebra $\mathscr{R}$ is a $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$-module endowed with a bilinear map

$$\mathscr{R} \otimes M \to M[[\lambda]], \quad a \otimes v \mapsto a_\lambda v$$

such that $(a, b \in \mathscr{R},\ v \in M)$

$$a_\lambda(b_\mu v) - b_\mu(a_\lambda v) = [a_\lambda b]_{\lambda+\mu} v, \tag{4.1}$$
$$(\partial a)_\lambda v = -\lambda a_\lambda v, \quad a_\lambda(\partial v) = (\partial + \lambda) a_\lambda v, \tag{4.2}$$

If $a_\lambda v \in M[\lambda]$ for all $a \in \mathscr{R}$, $v \in M$, then the $\mathscr{R}$-module M is said to be conformal. If M is finitely generated as $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$-module, then M is simply called finite.

Since we only consider conformal modules, we will simply shorten the term "conformal module" to "module". The one-dimensional vector space $\mathbb{C}$ can be viewed as a module (called the *trivial module*) over any conformal algebra $\mathscr{R}$ with both the action of ∂ and the action of $\mathscr{R}$ being zero. In addition, for a fixed nonzero complex constant a, there is a natural $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$-module $\mathbb{C}_a$, which is the one-dimensional vector space $\mathbb{C}$ such that $\partial v = av$ for $v \in \mathbb{C}_a$. Then $\mathbb{C}_a$ becomes an $\mathscr{R}$-module on which all elements of $\mathscr{R}$ act by zero.

For the Virasoro conformal algebra Vir, it was proved in [3] that all free nontrivial Vir-modules of rank 1 are the following ones $(\Delta, \alpha \in \mathbb{C})$:

$$M_{\Delta,\alpha} = \mathbb{C}[\partial]v, \quad L_\lambda v = (\partial + \alpha + \Delta\lambda)v. \tag{4.3}$$

The module $M_{\Delta,\alpha}$ is irreducible if and only if $\Delta \neq 0$, the module $M_{0,\alpha}$ contains a unique nontrivial submodule $(\partial + \alpha)M_{0,\alpha}$ isomorphic to $M_{1,\alpha}$, and the modules $M_{\Delta,\alpha}$ with $\Delta \neq 0$ exhaust all finite irreducible nontrivial conformal Vir-modules.

Proposition 4.2. *All free nontrivial $\mathscr{B}$-modules of rank* 1 *are as follows* $(\Delta, \alpha \in \mathbb{C})$*:*

$$M_{\Delta,\alpha} = \mathbb{C}[\partial]v,\ J_{0\,\lambda}v = (\partial + \alpha + \Delta\lambda)v,\ J_{i\,\lambda}v = 0,\ \textit{for } i > 0.$$

Proof. By (4.3), $J_{0\,\lambda}v = (\partial + \alpha + \Delta\lambda)v$ for some $\Delta, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. By [17, Lemma 5.1], we can suppose that k is the smallest nonnegative integer such that $J_{k\,\lambda}v \neq 0$, $J_{k+1\,\lambda}v = 0$. Assume $k > 0$ and write $J_{k\,\lambda}v = g(\lambda, \partial)v$, for some $g(\lambda, \partial) \in \mathbb{C}[\lambda, \partial]$. Since $[J_{k\,\lambda}J_k]_{\lambda+\mu}v = 0$,

$$g(\lambda, \partial)g(\mu, \lambda + \partial) = g(\mu, \partial)g(\lambda, \mu + \partial). \tag{4.4}$$

This implies $\deg_\lambda g(\lambda, \partial) + \deg_\partial g(\lambda, \partial) = \deg_\lambda g(\lambda, \partial)$. Thus $\deg_\partial g(\lambda, \partial) = 0$. Then we have $g(\lambda, \partial) = g(\lambda)$ for some $g(\lambda) \in \mathbb{C}[\lambda]$. The fact that $[J_{0\,\lambda}J_k]_{\lambda+\mu}v = ((k+1)\lambda - \mu)J_{k\lambda+\mu}v$ yields

$$((k+1)\lambda - \mu)g(\lambda + \mu)v = (\partial + \alpha + \Delta\lambda)g(\mu)v - (\partial + \mu + \alpha + \Delta\lambda)g(\mu)v = -\mu g(\mu)v,$$

which gives $g(\mu) = 0$. Hence, $J_{k\,\lambda}v = 0$, a contradiction. Thus $k = 0$ and $J_{1\,\lambda}v = 0$. It follows immediately that $J_{i\,\lambda}v = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. □

In the following we study cohomology of the Lie conformal algebra $\mathscr{B}$ with coefficients in $\mathscr{B}$-modules $\mathbb{C}$, $\mathbb{C}_a$ and $M_{\Delta,\alpha}$, respectively. For completeness, we shall present the definition of cohomology of Lie conformal algebras given in [2].

Definition 4.3. An n-cochain $(n \in \mathbb{Z}^+)$ of a Lie conformal algebra $\mathscr{R}$ with coefficients in an $\mathscr{R}$-module M is a $\mathbb{C}$-linear map

$$\gamma : \mathscr{R}^{\otimes n} \to M[\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n], \quad a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n \mapsto \gamma_{\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_n}(a_1, \cdots, a_n)$$

satisfying

(1) $\gamma_{\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_n}(a_1,\cdots,\partial a_i,\cdots,a_n)=-\lambda_i\gamma_{\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_n}(a_1,\cdots,a_n)$ (conformal antilinearity),

(2) γ is skew-symmetric with respect to simultaneous permutations of a_i's and λ_i's, namely,

$$\begin{aligned}&\gamma_{\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_{i-1},\lambda_{i+1},\lambda_i,\lambda_{i+2},\cdots,\lambda_n}(a_1,\cdots,a_{i-1},a_{i+1},a_i,\alpha_{i+2},\cdots,a_n)\\&\quad=-\gamma_{\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_{i-1},\lambda_i,\lambda_{i+1},\lambda_{i+2},\cdots,\lambda_n}(a_1,\cdots,a_{i-1},a_i,a_{i+1},a_{i+2},\cdots,a_n).\end{aligned}\tag{4.5}$$

As usual, let $\mathscr{R}^{\otimes 0}=\mathbb{C}$, so that a 0-cochain is an element of M. Denote by $\tilde{C}^n(\mathscr{R},M)$ the set of all n-cochains. The differential d of an n-cochain γ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}&(d\gamma)_{\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_{n+1}}(a_1,\cdots,a_{n+1})\\&=\sum_{i=1}^{n+1}(-1)^{i+1}a_{i\,\lambda_i}\gamma_{\lambda_1,\cdots,\hat{\lambda_i},\cdots,\lambda_{n+1}}(a_1,\cdots,\hat{a_i},\cdots,a_{n+1})\\&+\sum_{i,j=1;i<j}^{n+1}(-1)^{i+j}\gamma_{\lambda_i+\lambda_j,\lambda_1,\cdots,\hat{\lambda_i},\cdots,\hat{\lambda_j},\cdots,\lambda_{n+1}}\big([a_{i\,\lambda_i}a_j],a_1,\cdots,\hat{a_i},\cdots,\hat{a_j},\cdots,a_{n+1}\big),\end{aligned}\tag{4.6}$$

where γ is extended linearly over the polynomials in λ_i. In particular, if $\gamma\in M$ is a 0-cochain, then $(d\gamma)_\lambda(a)=a_\lambda\gamma$.

It is proved in [2] that the operator d preserves the space of cochains and $d^2=0$. Thus the cochains of a Lie conformal algebra $\mathscr{R}$ with coefficients in $\mathscr{R}$-module M form a complex, which is called the *basic complex* and will be denoted by

$$\tilde{C}^\bullet(\mathscr{R},M)=\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^+}\tilde{C}^n(\mathscr{R},M).$$

Moreover, define a $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$-module structure on $\tilde{C}^\bullet(\mathscr{R},M)$ by

$$(\partial\gamma)_{\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_n}(a_1,\cdots,a_n)=(\partial_M+\sum_{i=1}^n\lambda_i)\gamma_{\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_n}(a_1,\cdots,a_n),\tag{4.7}$$

where ∂_M denotes the action of ∂ on M. Then $d\partial=\partial d$ and thus $\partial\tilde{C}^\bullet(\mathscr{R},M)\subset\tilde{C}^\bullet(\mathscr{R},M)$ forms a subcomplex. The quotient complex

$$C^\bullet(\mathscr{R},M)=\tilde{C}^\bullet(\mathscr{R},M)/\partial\tilde{C}^\bullet(\mathscr{R},M)=\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^+}C^n(\mathscr{R},M)$$

is called the *reduced complex*.

Definition 4.4. The basis cohomology $\tilde{H}^\bullet(\mathscr{R},M)$ of a Lie conformal algebra $\mathscr{R}$ with coefficients in $\mathscr{R}$-module M is the cohomology of the basis complex $\tilde{C}^\bullet(\mathscr{R},M)$ and the (reduced) cohomology $H^\bullet(\mathscr{R},M)$ is the cohomology of the reduced complex $C^\bullet(\mathscr{R},M)$.

Remark 4.5. The basic cohomology $\tilde{H}^\bullet(\mathscr{R},M)$ is naturally a $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$-module, whereas the reduced cohomology $H^\bullet(\mathscr{R},M)$ is a complex vector space.

For a q-cochain $\gamma\in\tilde{C}^q(\mathscr{R},M)$, we call γ a *q-cocycle* if $d(\gamma)=0$; a *q-coboundary* or a *trivial q-cocycle* if there is a $(q-1)$-cochain $\phi\in\tilde{C}^{q-1}(\mathscr{R},M)$ such that $\gamma=d(\phi)$. Two cochains γ_1 and γ_2 are called *equivalent* if $\gamma_1-\gamma_2$ is a coboundary. Denote by $\tilde{D}^q(\mathscr{R},M)$ and $\tilde{B}^q(\mathscr{R},M)$ the spaces of q-cocycles and q-boundaries, respectively. By Definition 4.4,

$$\tilde{\mathrm{H}}^q(\mathscr{R},M)=\tilde{D}^q(\mathscr{R},M)/\tilde{B}^q(\mathscr{R},M)=\{\text{equivalent classes of } q\text{-cocycles}\}.$$

The main results of this section are the following theorem.

Theorem 4.6. *For the Lie conformal algebra $\mathscr{B}$, the following statements hold.*

(1) *For the trivial module $\mathbb{C}$, we have*

$$\dim \tilde{\mathrm{H}}^q(\mathscr{B},\mathbb{C}) = \begin{cases} 1 & if\ q=0, \\ 0 & if\ q=1, or\ 2, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\dim \mathrm{H}^q(\mathscr{B},\mathbb{C}) = \begin{cases} 1 & if\ q=0, or\ 2, \\ 0 & if\ q=1. \end{cases}$$

(2) *If $a\neq 0$, then $\dim \mathrm{H}^\bullet(\mathscr{B},\mathbb{C}_a)=0$.*

(3) *If $\alpha\neq 0$, then $\dim \mathrm{H}^\bullet(\mathscr{B},M_{\Delta,\alpha})=0$.*

Proof. (1) Since a 0-cochain γ is an element of $\mathbb{C}$ and $(d\gamma)_\lambda(a)=a_\lambda\gamma=0$ for $a\in\mathscr{B}$, we have $\tilde{D}^0(\mathscr{B},\mathbb{C})=\tilde{C}^0(\mathscr{B},\mathbb{C})=\mathbb{C}$ and $\tilde{B}^0(\mathscr{B},\mathbb{C})=0$. Thus $\tilde{\mathrm{H}}^0(\mathscr{B},\mathbb{C})=\tilde{D}^0(\mathscr{B},\mathbb{C})/\tilde{B}^0(\mathscr{B},\mathbb{C})=\mathbb{C}$, and $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathscr{B},\mathbb{C})=\mathbb{C}$ because $\partial\tilde{C}^0(\mathscr{B},\mathbb{C})=\partial\mathbb{C}=0$.

Let $\gamma\in\tilde{C}^1(\mathscr{B},\mathbb{C})$ and $d\gamma\in\partial\tilde{C}^2(\mathscr{B},\mathbb{C})$, namely, there is $\phi\in\tilde{C}^2(\mathscr{B},\mathbb{C})$ such that $d(\gamma)=\partial\phi$. By (4.6), (4.7) and $\partial\mathbb{C}=0$,

$$\gamma_{\lambda_1+\lambda_2}([a_{\lambda_1}b]) = -(d\gamma)_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}(a,b) = -(\partial\phi)_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}(a,b) = -(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)\phi_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}(a,b),\quad a,b\in\mathscr{B}, \tag{4.8}$$

By (1.1), (4.8) and Definition 4.3 (1),

$$((i+1)\lambda_1-\lambda_2)\gamma_{\lambda_1+\lambda_2}(J_i) = -(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)\phi_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}(J_0,J_i),\ i\geq 0. \tag{4.9}$$

Setting $\lambda=\lambda_1+\lambda_2$ in (4.9) gives

$$((i+1)\lambda-(i+2)\lambda_2)\gamma_\lambda(J_i) = -\lambda\phi_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}(L,J_i),\ i\geq 0,$$

which implies that $\gamma_\lambda(J_i)$ is divisible by λ. We can define a 1-cochain $\gamma'\in\tilde{C}^1(\mathscr{B},\mathbb{C})$ by

$$\gamma'_\lambda(J_i)=\lambda^{-1}\gamma_\lambda(J_i),\ \text{for } i\geq 0. \tag{4.10}$$

Since $\partial\mathbb{C}=0$, $\gamma=\partial\gamma'\in\partial\tilde{C}^1(\mathscr{B},\mathbb{C})$. Hence $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathscr{B},\mathbb{C})=0$. If γ is a 1-cocycle, namely, $\phi=0$ in (4.8), then (4.9) gives $\gamma=0$. Thus $\tilde{\mathrm{H}}^1(\mathscr{B},\mathbb{C})=0$.

Let $\psi\in\tilde{D}^2(\mathscr{B},\mathbb{C})$ be a 2-cocycle. We have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (d\psi)_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3}(J_i,J_0,J_0)|_{\lambda_3=0} \\ &= -(\lambda_1-(i+1)\lambda_2)\psi_{\lambda_1+\lambda_2,\lambda_3}(J_i,J_0)|_{\lambda_3=0}+(\lambda_1-(i+1)\lambda_3)\psi_{\lambda_1+\lambda_3,\lambda_2}(J_i,J_0)|_{\lambda_3=0} \\ &\quad -(\lambda_2-\lambda_3)\psi_{\lambda_2+\lambda_3,\lambda_1}(J_0,J_i)|_{\lambda_3=0} \\ &= -((\lambda_1-(i+1)\lambda_2)\psi_{\lambda_1+\lambda_2,0}(J_i,J_0)+(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)\psi_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}(J_i,J_0). \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

Setting $\lambda=\lambda_1+\lambda_2$ in (4.11) gives $((\lambda-(i+2)\lambda_2)\psi_{\lambda,0}(J_i,J_0)=\lambda\psi_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}(J_i,J_0)$. Thus $\psi_{\lambda,0}(J_i,J_0)$ is divisible by λ. Define a 1-cochain f by

$$f_{\lambda_1}(J_i)=\lambda_1^{-1}\psi_{\lambda_1,\lambda}(J_i,J_0)|_{\lambda=0},\ \text{for } i\geq 0.$$

Set $\gamma=\psi+df$, which is also a 2-cocycle. For all $i\geq 0$,

$$\gamma_{\lambda_1,\lambda}(J_i,J_0)|_{\lambda=0}=\psi_{\lambda_1,\lambda}(J_i,J_0)|_{\lambda=0}-\lambda_1 f_{\lambda_1}(J_i)=0. \tag{4.12}$$

By (4.12) and (4.11) with γ in place of ψ, we have $(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)\gamma_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}(J_i,J_0)=0$. Therefore $\gamma_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}(J_i,J_0)=0=\gamma_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}(J_i,J_0)$. With this,

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= (d\gamma)_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda}(J_0,J_i,J_k)|_{\lambda=0}\\ &= -\gamma_{\lambda_1+\lambda_2,\lambda}([J_{0\,\lambda_1}J_i],J_k)|_{\lambda=0}+\gamma_{\lambda_1+\lambda,\lambda_2}([J_{0\,\lambda_1}J_k],J_i)|_{\lambda=0}-\gamma_{\lambda_2+\lambda,\lambda_1}([J_{i\,\lambda_2}J_k],J_0)|_{\lambda=0}\\ &= -((i+1)\lambda_1-\lambda_2)\gamma_{\lambda_1+\lambda_2,0}(J_i,J_k)-(k+1)\lambda_1\gamma_{\lambda_2,\lambda_1}(J_i,J_k). \qquad (4.13)\end{aligned}$$

Setting $\lambda_1=0$ in (4.13) gives $\gamma_{\lambda_2,0}(J_i,J_k)=0$ and thus $\gamma_{\lambda_2,\lambda_1}(J_i,J_k)=0$. This proves $\gamma=0$. Hence $\tilde{\mathrm{H}}^2(\mathscr{B},\mathbb{C})=0$.

It remains to compute $\mathrm{H}^2(\mathscr{B},\mathbb{C})$. Following [12], we define a linear map $\sigma\gamma:\mathscr{B}^{\otimes q}\to\mathbb{C}[\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_{q-1}]$ for $q\geq 2$ by

$$(\sigma\gamma)(\,a_1\otimes\cdots\otimes a_n)=\gamma_{\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_q}(a_1,\cdots,a_q)|_{\lambda_q=-\lambda_1-\cdots-\lambda_{q-1}},\quad a_1,\cdots,a_q\in\mathscr{B}. \qquad (4.14)$$

We define $\sigma\gamma=\gamma$ if $q=0$ and $\sigma\gamma(a_1)=\gamma_{\lambda_1}(a_1)|_{\lambda_1=0}$ if $q=1$. Set $C'^q(\mathscr{B},\mathbb{C})=\{\sigma\gamma|\gamma\in\tilde{C}^q(\mathscr{B},\mathbb{C})\}$. Obviously, $\sigma:\tilde{C}^q(\mathscr{B},\mathbb{C})\to C'^q(\mathscr{B},\mathbb{C})$ is a surjective map. If $\gamma\in\partial\tilde{C}^q(\mathscr{B},\mathbb{C})=(\sum_{i=1}^q\lambda_i)\tilde{C}^q(\mathscr{B},\mathbb{C})$, then $\sigma\gamma=0$. That is, σ factors to a map $\sigma:C^q(\mathscr{B},\mathbb{C})\to C'^q(\mathscr{B},\mathbb{C})$.

We claim that $\sigma:C^q(\mathscr{B},\mathbb{C})\to C'^q(\mathscr{B},\mathbb{C})$ is an isomorphism as vector spaces. In fact, if $\sigma\gamma=0$ for a q-cochain γ, then, by (4.14), $\gamma_{\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_q}(a_1,\cdots,a_q)$ as a polynomial in λ_q has a root $\lambda_q=-\sum_{i=1}^{q-1}\lambda_i$, namely, it is divided by $\sum_{i=1}^q\lambda_i$. Thus we get a q-cochain

$$\gamma'_{\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_q}(a_1,\cdots,a_q)=(\textstyle\sum_{i=1}^q\lambda_i)^{-1}\gamma_{\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_q}(a_1,\cdots,a_q), \qquad (4.15)$$

and $\gamma=(\sum_{i=1}^q\lambda_i)\gamma'\in\partial\tilde{C}^q(\mathscr{B},\mathbb{C})$, which proves that σ is injective. Hence the claim is true.

In the following we can identify $C^q(\mathscr{B},\mathbb{C})$ with $C'^q(\mathscr{B},\mathbb{C})$. We still call an element in $C'^q(\mathscr{B},\mathbb{C})$ a reduced q-cochain. By defining the operator $d':C'^q(\mathscr{B},\mathbb{C})\to C'^{q+1}(\mathscr{B},\mathbb{C})$ by $d'(\sigma\gamma)=\sigma d\gamma$, we have similar notions of reduced q-cocycle and q-coboundary. For convenience, we will abbreviate $\gamma_{\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_q}(a_1,\cdots,a_q)|_{\lambda_q=-\lambda_1-\cdots-\lambda_{q-1}}$ to $\gamma_{\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_{q-1}}(a_1,\cdots,a_q)$.

Let $\psi'=\sigma\psi\in C'^2(\mathscr{B},\mathbb{C})$ be a reduced 2-cochain. By (4.6) and (4.14),

$$\begin{aligned}&(d\psi')_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}(a_1,a_2,a_3)\\ &\quad=-\psi'_{\lambda_1+\lambda_2}([a_{1\,\lambda_1}a_2],a_3)+\psi'_{-\lambda_2}([a_{1\,\lambda_1}a_3],a_2)-\psi'_{-\lambda_1}([a_{2\,\lambda_2}a_3],a_1), \qquad (4.16)\end{aligned}$$

for $a_1,a_2,a_3\in\mathscr{B}$. Define a reduce 1-cochain $f'=\sigma f\in C'^1(\mathscr{B},\mathbb{C})$ by

$$f'(J_i)=(i+2)^{-1}\frac{d}{d\lambda}\psi'_\lambda(J_i,J_0)|_{\lambda=0},\ \text{for } i\geq 0. \qquad (4.17)$$

Note that $f'(a)=f_\lambda(a)|_{\lambda=0}$. Thus f' is simply a linear function from $\mathscr{B}$ to $\mathbb{C}$, satisfying $f'(\partial a)=f_\lambda(\partial a)|_{\lambda=0}=-\lambda f_\lambda(a)|_{\lambda=0}=0$, and

$$(df')_\lambda(a_1,a_2)=-f'([a_{1\,\lambda}a_2]),\ \text{for } a_1,\ a_2\in\mathscr{B}. \qquad (4.18)$$

If ψ' is a reduced 2-cocycle, then $\gamma'=\psi'+df'$ is a reduced 2-cocycle, equivalent to ψ'. By (4.17) and (4.18),

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda}\gamma'_\lambda(J_i,J_0)|_{\lambda=0}=0,\ \text{for } i\geq 0. \qquad (4.19)$$

This, along with (4.16) and (4.5), gives

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}(d\gamma')_{\lambda_1,\lambda}(J_i,J_k,J_0)|_{\lambda=-\lambda_1} \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\big(-\gamma'_{\lambda_1+\lambda}([J_{i\,\lambda_1}J_k],J_0)+\gamma'_{-\lambda}([J_{i\,\lambda_1}J_0],J_k)-\gamma'_{-\lambda_1}([J_{k\,\lambda}J_0],J_i)\big)|_{\lambda=-\lambda_1} \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\big(((i+1)(\lambda+\lambda_1)+\lambda_1)\gamma'_{-\lambda}(J_i,J_k)-((k+1)(\lambda+\lambda_1)+\lambda)\gamma'_{-\lambda_1}(J_k,J_i)\big)|_{\lambda=-\lambda_1}. \\ &= (i+k+3)\gamma'_{\lambda_1}(J_i,J_k)-\lambda_1\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda_1}\gamma'_{\lambda_1}(J_i,J_k). \end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

Thus,

$$\gamma'_\lambda(J_i,J_k)=c_{i,k}\lambda^{i+k+3},\ \text{for some } c_{i,k}\in\mathbb{C}. \tag{4.21}$$

By (4.16) with γ' in place of ψ' and (4.21),

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -\gamma'_{\lambda_1+\lambda_2}([J_{i\,\lambda_1}J_j],J_k)+\gamma'_{-\lambda_2}([J_{i\,\lambda_1}J_k],J_j)-\gamma'_{-\lambda_1}([J_{j\,\lambda_2}J_k],J_i) \\ &= -((j+1)\lambda_1-(i+1)\lambda_2)c_{i+j,k}(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^{i+j+k+3} \\ &\quad +((i+1)\lambda_2+(i+k+2)\lambda_1)c_{i+k,j}(-\lambda_2)^{i+j+k+3} \\ &\quad -((j+1)\lambda_1+(j+k+2)\lambda_2)c_{j+k,i}(-\lambda_1)^{i+j+k+3}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

Taking $i=j=0$ in (4.22), we get

$$c_{0,k}(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^{k+3}=c_{k,0}\big((\lambda_2+(k+2)\lambda_1)(-\lambda_2)^{k+3}-(\lambda_1+(k+2)\lambda_2)(-\lambda_1)^{k+3}\big). \tag{4.23}$$

Setting $\lambda_2=0$ in (4.23) gives $c_{0,k}=(-1)^k c_{k,0}$. Comparing coefficients of $\lambda_1^2\lambda_2^{k+2}$ in (4.23) gives $c_{0,k}=c_{k,0}=0$ for $k\geq 1$. Setting $i=0$ in (4.22) and comparing coefficients of λ_1^{j+k+4}, we obtain $c_{j,k}=0$ for $j,k\geq 1$. Thus, by (4.21), there exists a nonzero complex number c, such that

$$\gamma'_\lambda(J_0,J_0)=c\lambda^3,\ \ \gamma'_\lambda(J_i,J_j)=0,\ \text{for } i,\ j\geq 1. \tag{4.24}$$

Therefore, $\dim \mathrm{H}^2(\mathscr{B},\mathbb{C})=1$, which proves (1).

(2) Define an operator $\tau:\tilde{C}^q(\mathscr{B},\mathbb{C}_a)\to\tilde{C}^{q-1}(\mathscr{B},\mathbb{C}_a)$ by

$$(\tau\gamma)_{\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_{q-1}}(a_1,\cdots,a_{q-1})=(-1)^{q-1}\gamma_{\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_{q-1},\lambda}(a_1,\cdots,a_{q-1},J_0)|_{\lambda=0}, \tag{4.25}$$

for $a_1,\cdots,a_{q-1}\in\mathscr{B}$. By the fact that $\partial\tilde{C}^q(\mathscr{B},\mathbb{C}_a)=(a+\sum_{i=1}^q\lambda_i)\tilde{C}^q(\mathscr{B},\mathbb{C}_a)$ and (4.25),

$$\begin{aligned} ((d\tau+\tau d)\gamma)_{\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_q}(J_{n_1},\cdots,J_{n_q}) &= \big(\textstyle\sum_{i=1}^q\lambda_i\big)\gamma_{\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_q}(J_{n_1},\cdots,J_{n_q}) \\ &\equiv -a\gamma_{\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_q}(J_{n_1},\cdots,J_{n_q})\ (\mathrm{mod}\ \partial\tilde{C}^q(\mathscr{B},\mathbb{C}_a). \end{aligned} \tag{4.26}$$

Suppose that γ is a q-cochain such that $d\gamma\in\partial\tilde{C}^{q+1}(\mathscr{B},\mathbb{C}_a)$, namely, there is a $(q+1)$-cochain ϕ such that $d\gamma=(a+\sum_{i=1}^{q+1}\lambda_i)\phi$. By (4.25), $\tau d\gamma=(a+\sum_{i=1}^q\lambda_i)\tau\phi\in\partial\tilde{C}^q(\mathscr{B},\mathbb{C}_a)$. By(4.26), $\gamma\equiv -d(a^{-1}\tau\gamma)$ is a reduced coboundary because $a\neq 0$. Thus $\mathrm{H}^q(\mathscr{B},\mathbb{C}_a)=0$ for $q\geq 0$. This proves (2).

(3) Note that $\partial\tilde{C}^q(\mathscr{B},M_{\Delta,\alpha})=(\partial+\sum_{i=1}^q\lambda_i)\tilde{C}^q(\mathscr{B},M_{\Delta,\alpha})$. Similarly to the proof of (2), we define an operator $\kappa: C^q(\mathscr{B},M_{\Delta,\alpha})\to C^{q-1}(\mathscr{B},M_{\Delta,\alpha})$ by

$$(\kappa\gamma)_{\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_{q-1}}(a_1,\cdots,a_{q-1})=(-1)^{q-1}\gamma_{\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_{q-1},\lambda}(a_1,\cdots,a_{q-1},J_0)|_{\lambda=0},$$

for $a_1,\cdots,a_{q-1}\in\mathscr{B}$. By Theorem 4.2,

$$\begin{aligned}&((d\kappa+\kappa d)\gamma)_{\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_q}(J_{n_1},\cdots,J_{n_q})\\&\quad=J_{0\,\lambda}\gamma_{\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_q}(J_{n_1},\cdots,J_{n_q})|_{\lambda=0}+\big(\sum_{i=1}^q\lambda_i\big)\gamma_{\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_q}(J_{n_1},\cdots,J_{n_q})\\&\quad=\big(\partial+\alpha+\sum_{i=1}^q\lambda_i\big)\gamma_{\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_q}(J_{n_1},\cdots,J_{n_q})\\&\quad\equiv\alpha\gamma_{\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_q}(J_{n_1},\cdots,J_{n_q})\ (\mathrm{mod}\ \partial\tilde{C}^q(\mathscr{B},M_{\Delta,\alpha})).\end{aligned}\tag{4.27}$$

If γ is a reduced q-cocycle, then there is a $(q+1)$-cochain φ such that $d\gamma=\partial\varphi=(\partial+\sum_{i=1}^{q+1}\lambda_i)\varphi$. In this case, $\kappa d\gamma=(\partial+\sum_{i=1}^q\lambda_i)\kappa\phi\in\partial\tilde{C}^q(\mathscr{B},M_{\Delta,\alpha})$. It follows from (4.27) that $\gamma\equiv d(\alpha^{-1}\kappa\gamma)$ is a reduced q-coboundary, since we assume $\alpha\neq0$. Hence $\mathrm{H}^q(\mathscr{B},M_{\Delta,\alpha})=0$ for $q\geq0$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 4.6. □

Corollary 4.7. *There is a unique nontrivial universal central extension $\tilde{\mathscr{B}}=\mathscr{B}\oplus\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{c}$ of the Lie conformal algebra $\mathscr{B}$, satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned}[J_{0\,\lambda}J_0]&=(\partial+2\lambda)J_0+\lambda^3\mathfrak{c},\\[J_{i\,\lambda}J_j]&=((i+1)\partial+(i+j+2)\lambda)J_{i+j},\ \textit{for } i,j>0.\end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.8. The formal distribution Lie algebra corresponding to $\tilde{\mathscr{B}}$ is a well-known Lie algebra of Block type studied in [16, 18].

5 Vertex Poisson algebra structure associated to $\mathscr{B}$

Denote $V=\bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}^+}\mathbb{C}J_i$. Thus the Lie conformal algebra of Block type $\mathscr{B}$ is a free $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$-module over V. By (2.4), the λ-bracket (1.1) is equivalent to the following j-products

$$J_{i\,(0)}J_k=(i+1)\partial J_{i+k},\quad J_{i\,(1)}J_k=(i+k+2)J_{i+k},\quad J_{i\,(n)}J_k=0,\tag{5.1}$$

for $i,k\in\mathbb{Z}^+$, $n\geq2$. Define a linear map $Y_-(\cdot,z)$ from V to $z^{-1}(\mathrm{End}\,V)[[z^{-1}]]$ by

$$Y_-(J_i,z)=\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^+}J_{i\,(n)}z^{-n-1},\ \text{for } i\geq0.\tag{5.2}$$

From (5.1) and (5.2), we have

$$Y_-(J_i,z)J_k=(i+1)\partial J_{i+k}z^{-1}+(i+k+2)J_{i+k}z^{-2},\ \text{for } i,k\in\mathbb{Z}^+.\tag{5.3}$$

Extending the map $Y_-(\cdot,z)$ to the whole $\mathscr{B}=\mathbb{C}[\partial]\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}V$ by

$$Y_-(f(\partial)J_i,z)\big(\partial^mJ_k\big)=f(d/dz)\sum_{l=0}^m(-1)^l\partial^{m-l}(d/dz)^lY_-(J_i,z)J_k,\tag{5.4}$$

then $(\mathscr{B}, Y_-, \partial)$ forms a free vertex Lie algebra. Indeed, (5.3) and (5.4) guarantee axioms (2.10) and (2.11). It suffices to check (2.12) and (2.14) on the generators. For $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Sing}(e^{z\partial}Y_-(J_j,-z)J_i) &= (j+1)\partial J_{i+j}(-z)^{-1} + (i+j+2)J_{i+j}z^{-2} + (i+j+2)\partial J_{i+j}z^{-1}\\ &= (i+1)\partial J_{i+j}z^{-1} + (i+j+2)J_{i+j}z^{-2}\\ &= Y_-(J_i,z)J_j, \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

which proves (2.12). Furthermore, for any $i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$,

$$\begin{aligned}&Y_-(J_i,z_1)Y_-(J_j,z_2)J_k\\ &= (j+1)(i+1)\partial^2 J_{i+j+k}z_1^{-1}z_2^{-1} + (j+1)(2i+j+k+3)\partial J_{i+j+k}z_1^{-2}z_2^{-1}\\ &\quad +2(j+1)(i+j+k+2)J_{i+j+k}z_1^{-3}z_2^{-1} + (i+1)(j+k+2)\partial J_{i+j+k}z_1^{-1}z_2^{-2}\\ &\quad +(j+k+2)(i+j+k+2)J_{i+j+k}z_1^{-2}z_2^{-2}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{Sing}\big(\textstyle\sum_{n\geq 0}(z_1-z_2)^{-n-1}Y_-(J_{i\,(n)}J_j,z_2)\big)J_k\\ &= \mathrm{Sing}\big((z_1-z_2)^{-1}Y_-(J_{i\,(0)}J_j,z_2) + (z_1-z_2)^{-2}Y_-(J_{i(1)}J_j,z_2)\big)J_k\\ &= \mathrm{Sing}\big((i+1)(z_1-z_2)^{-1}Y_-(\partial J_{i+j},z_2) + (i+j+2)(z_1-z_2)^{-2}Y_-(J_{i+j},z_2)\big)J_k\\ &= -(i+1)(i+j+1)\partial J_{i+j+k}(z_1^{-1}z_2^{-2}+z_1^{-2}z_2^{-1}) + (i+j+2)(i+j+1)\partial J_{i+j+k}z_1^{-1}z_2^{-2}\\ &\quad -2(i+1)(i+j+k+2)J_{i+j+k}(z_1^{-1}z_2^{-3}+z_1^{-2}z_2^{-2}+z_1^{-3}z_2^{-1})\\ &\quad +(i+j+2)(i+j+k+2)J_{i+j+k}(z_1^{-2}z_2^{-2}+2z_1^{-3}z_2^{-1})\\ &= Y_-(J_i,z_1)Y_-(J_j,z_2)J_k - Y_-(J_j,z_1)Y_-(J_i,z_2)J_k, \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

where the last equality follows from (5.6). This proves (2.14) and thus $(\mathscr{B}, Y_-, \partial)$ is a vertex Lie algebra, called the *vertex Lie algebra of Block type*.

Remark 5.1. By [8], the vertex Lie algebra $(\mathscr{B}, Y_-, \partial)$ is equivalent to a Novikov algebra $(V, \circ)$ with the operation $\circ$ defined by

$$J_i \circ J_j = (j+1)J_{i+j}, \text{ for } i, j \geq 0. \tag{5.8}$$

Moreover, the commutator on $(V, \circ)$ of the form

$$[J_i, J_j] = (j-i)J_{i+j}, \quad \text{for } i, j \geq 0, \tag{5.9}$$

make V into a Lie algebra. The Lie algebra $(V, [\cdot,\cdot])$ is a subalgebra of the one-sided Witt algebra W_1^+ with a basis $\{J_i | i \geq -1\}$, which occurs in the study of conformal field theory.

By a *differential algebra*, we mean a commutative associative algebra A (with 1) equipped with a derivation ∂, denoted by (A, ∂). A subset U of A is said to generate A as a differential algebra if $\partial^n U$ for $n \geq 0$ generate A as an algebra.

The following notion of vertex Poisson algebra is due to [7].

Definition 5.2. A vertex Poisson algebra is a differential algebra (A, ∂) equipped with a vertex Lie algebra structure (Y_-, ∂) such that for $a, b, c \in A$,

$$Y_-(a,z)(bc) = (Y_-(a,z)b)c + b(Y_-(a,z)c), \tag{5.10}$$

where $Y_-(a,z) = \sum_{n\geq 0} a_n z^{-n-1}$.

In terms of components, relation (5.10) is equivalent to

$$a_n(bc) = (a_nb)c + b(a_nc) \text{ for } a, b, c \in A,\ n \geq 0, \tag{5.11}$$

namely, these a_n are derivations of A. Therefore,

$$Y_-(a, z) \in z^{-1}(\operatorname{Der}A)[[z^{-1}]], \quad \text{for } a \in A, \tag{5.12}$$

which implies $Y_-(a, z)1 = 0$. Then $Y_-(1, z)a = 0$ by (2.12), namely, $Y_-(1, z) = 0$.

Let U be a vector space. Denote by $A = S(\mathbb{C}[\partial] \otimes U)$ the symmetric algebra over the free $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$-module $\mathbb{C}[\partial] \otimes U$. The operator ∂ can be uniquely extended to a derivation of A. Then (A, ∂) forms a differential algebra, which is referred to as *the free differential algebra* over U. Following [10], a *week pre-vertex Poisson structure* on A is a linear map Y_-^0 from $U \times U$ to $z^{-1}A[z^{-1}]$ such that

$$Y_-^0(a, z)b = \operatorname{Sing}(e^{z\partial}Y_-^0(b, -z)a), \text{ for } a, b \in A. \tag{5.13}$$

By [10, Proposition 3.10], the operator Y_-^0 can be uniquely extended to a linear map

$$Y_- : A \to \operatorname{Hom}(A, z^{-1}A[z^{-1}]), \quad a \mapsto Y_-(a, z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^+} a_n z^{-n-1}$$

such that for $a, b \in A$,

$$\begin{aligned} Y_-(a, z) &= \textstyle\sum_{n\geq 0} a_n z^{-n-1} \in z^{-1}(\operatorname{Der}A)[[z^{-1}]], && (5.14)\\ [\partial, Y_-(a, z)] &= Y_-(\partial a, z) = \frac{d}{dz}Y_-(a, z), && (5.15)\\ Y_-(a, z)b &= \operatorname{Sing}\big(e^{z\partial}Y_-(b, -z)a\big). && (5.16) \end{aligned}$$

The following result, due to [10, Theorem 3.11], gives a general construction of vertex Poisson algebras from free differential algebras.

Theorem 5.3. *Let A be the free differential algebra over U and let Y_-^0 be a week pre-vertex Poisson structure on A such that for $u, v, w \in U$ from an ordered basis of U with $u \leq v \leq w$,*

$$\tilde{Y}_-^0(u, z_1)Y_-^0(v, z_2)w - \tilde{Y}_-^0(v, z_2)Y^0(u, z_1)w = \operatorname{Sing}\big(e^{z_2\partial}\tilde{Y}_-^0(w, -z_2)Y_-^0(u, z_1 - z_2)v\big), \tag{5.17}$$

where $\tilde{Y}_-^0(u, z) \in z^{-1}(\operatorname{Der})[[z^{-1}]]$ is uniquely determined by

$$\tilde{Y}_-^0(u, z)e^{z_1\partial}v = e^{z_1\partial}e^{-z_1\frac{d}{dz}}Y_-^0(u, z)v, \text{ for } v \in U. \tag{5.18}$$

Then Y_-^0 uniquely extends to a vertex Poisson structure Y_- on A.

The following result is an application of the above theorem.

Proposition 5.4. *Let $(\mathscr{B}, Y_-, \partial)$ be the vertex Lie algebra of Block type and $A = S(\mathscr{B})$ the free differential algebra over $V = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}^+} \mathbb{C}J_i$. Define*

$$Y_-^0(J_i, z)J_j = (i + 1)\partial J_{i+j}z^{-1} + (i + j + 2)J_{i+j}z^{-2}, \text{ for } i, j \geq 0. \tag{5.19}$$

Then Y_-^0 uniquely extends to a vertex Poisson algebra structure on A.

Proof. By (5.3) and (5.19), $Y_-^0(J^i,z)J^j = Y_-(J^i,z)J^j$ for $i,j\in\mathbb{Z}^+$. Thus Y_-^0 satisfies (5.13) and so it is a week pre-vertex Poisson structure on A. By (5.18) and (5.19), for $i,j,k\in\mathbb{Z}^+$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\tilde{Y}_-^0(J_i,z_1)Y_-^0(J_j,z_2)J_k\\ &\quad=(j+1)(i+1)\partial^2 J_{i+j+k}z_1^{-1}z_2^{-1}+(j+1)(2i+j+k+3)\partial J_{i+j+k}z_1^{-2}z_2^{-1}\\ &\qquad+2(j+1)(i+j+k+2)J_{i+j+k}z_1^{-3}z_2^{-1}+(i+1)(j+k+2)\partial J_{i+j+k}z_1^{-1}z_2^{-2}\\ &\qquad+(j+k+2)(i+j+k+2)J_{i+j+k}z_1^{-2}z_2^{-2}.\end{aligned}\tag{5.20}$$

By (5.18)–(5.20),

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{Sing}\big(e^{z_2\partial}\tilde{Y}_-^0(J_k,-z_2)Y_-^0(J_i,z_1-z_2)J_j\big)\\ =\;&\mathrm{Sing}\big(e^{z_2\partial}Y_-^0(J_k,-z_2)\big((i+1)\partial J_{i+j}(z_1-z_2)^{-1}+(i+j+2)J_{i+j}(z_1-z_2)^{-2}\big)\big)\\ =\;&\mathrm{Sing}\big((i+1)Y_-(\partial J_{i+j},z_2)J_k(z_1-z_2)^{-1}+(i+j+2)Y_-(J_{i+j},z_2)J_k(z_1-z_2)^{-2}\big)\\ =\;&\mathrm{Sing}\big(-(i+1)\big((i+j+1)\partial J_{i+j+k}z_2^{-2}+2(i+j+k+2)z_2^{-3}J_{i+j+k}\big)(z_1-z_2)^{-1}\\ &+(i+j+2)\big((i+j+1)\partial J_{i+j+k}z_2^{-1}+(i+j+k+2)z_2^{-2}J_{i+j+k}\big)(z_1-z_2)^{-2}\big)\\ =\;&-(i+1)(i+j+1)\partial J_{i+j+k}(z_2^{-2}z_1^{-1}+z_1^{-2}z_2^{-1})+(i+j+2)(i+j+1)\partial J_{i+j+k}z_2^{-1}z_1^{-2}\\ &-2(i+1)(i+j+k+2)J_{i+j+k}(z_2^{-3}z_1^{-1}+z_2^{-2}z_1^{-2}+z_2^{-1}z_1^{-3})\\ &+(i+j+2)(i+j+k+2)J_{i+j+k}(z_2^{-2}z_1^{-2}+2z_1^{-3}z_2^{-1})\\ =\;&\tilde{Y}_-^0(L^i,z_1)Y_-^0(L^j,z_2)L^k-\tilde{Y}_-^0(L^j,z_2)Y_-^0(L^i,z_1)L^k.\end{aligned}$$

This proves the result by Theorem 5.3. □

Acknowledgements This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation grants of China (11301109) and the Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education (20132302120042).

References

[1] Barakat A., De Sole A., Kac V., Poisson vertex algebras in the theory of Hamiltonian equations, *Japan. J. Math.*, **4** (2009) 141–252.

[2] Bakalov B., Kac V., Voronov A., Cohomology of conformal algebras, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **200** (1999) 561–598.

[3] Cheng S.-J., Kac V.G., Conformal modules, *Asian J. Math.*, 1997, **1**(1):181–193.

[4] C. Dong, H.-S. Li, G. Mason, Vertex Lie algebra, vertex Poisson algebra and vertex operator algebras, in *Recent Development in Infinite-Dimensional Lie Algebras and Conformal Field Theory, Proc. of an International Conference, May 23–27, 2000, University of Virginia,* eds. by S. Berman, P. Fendley, Y.-Z. Huang, K. Misra and B. Parshall, Contemporary Math. **297**, Amer. Math. Soc., 2002, 69–96.

[5] D'Andrea A., Kac V.G., Structure theory of finite conformal algebras, *Sel. Math., New Ser.*, 1998, **4**:377–418.

[6] Fattori D., Kac V., Classification of finite simple Lie conformal superalgebras, *J. Algebra*, **258**(1) (2002) 23–59.

[7] E. Frenkel, D. Ben-Zvi, Vertex algebras and algebraic curves, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Vol. 88, Amer. Math. Soc., 2001.

[8] I. M. Gel'fand , I. Ya. Dorfman, Hamiltonian operators and algebraic structures related to them, *Functs. Anal. i Prilozhen.*, **13**(4)(1979), 13–30.

[9] V.G. Kac, Vertex Algebras for Beginners, 2nd ed. University Lecture Series Vol. 10 (American Mathematical Society, 1998).

[10] Haisheng Li, Vertex algebras and vertex Piosson algebras, *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, **6**(1) (2004), 61–110.

[11] M. Primc, Vertex algebras generated by Lie algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **135** (1999), 253–293.

[12] Su Y., Low dimensional cohomology of general conformal algebras gc_N, *J. Math. Phys.*, **45** (2004) 509–524.

[13] Y. Su, Quasifinite representations of a Lie algebra of Block type, J. Algebra 276 (2004) 117–128.

[14] Y. Su, Quasifinite representations of a family of Lie algebra of Block type, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 192 (2004) 293–305.

[15] Y. Su, C. Xia, Y. Xu, Quasifinite representations of a class of Block type Lie algebra $\mathscr{B}(q)$, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 216 (2012) 923–934.

[16] Y. Su, C. Xia, Y. Xu, Classification of quasifinite representations of a Lie algebra related to Block type, *J. Algebra*, **393** (2013) 71–78.

[17] Su Yucai, Yue Xiaoqing, Filtered Lie conformal algebras whose associated graded algebras are isomorphic to that of general conformal algebra gc_1, *J. Algebra*, 2011, 340:182–198.

[18] Wang Q., Tan S., Quasifinite modules of a Lie algebra related to Block type, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 2007, **211**:596–608.